TIC 467468492: shallow dips, every fitting period ruled out, unconfirmed

Sean League

Tustin, California. Research note from the 2.4 degree repeating-dip scan around V345 Lac, 18 November 2026.

Status: Unconfirmed dips. Not a planet claim. Found 18 Nov 2026. Not filed with VSX. Not yet checked from the ground.

Abstract

TIC 467468492 (Tmag 10.309) showed 4 clean dips of at least 0.5 percent in TESS Quick-Look Pipeline photometry, median depth 0.62 percent, median duration 4.1 hours. The dips are shallow enough that, on a star of the TIC radius 1.25 $R_\odot$, a dark body of about 0.98 R_{Jup} could produce them. That is a depth conversion only; it is not a planet measurement. The star is 2.085 degrees from V345 Lac, in the 2.00–2.10° ring. Every period that fits all the dips is ruled out by TESS coverage. This one, 0.6393 days (the gap divided by 1), missed the fewest predicted times (98). As of 18 November 2026, 12:00 UTC, the next listed time is 19 Nov 2026, 00:54 UTC with a window of ± 35.9 hours. That window is huge and is not usable for planning an observation. Nothing in this note has been checked from the ground or submitted to VSX. This is not a planet claim.

1. How the star was found

A repeating-dip scan of TESS stars around V345 Lacertae (TIC 421726141) ran on 18 November 2026. It covered every TESS Input Catalog star within 2.4 degrees of V345 Lac with a TESS magnitude of 13.0 or brighter, 20,840 stars. The light curves are QLP SAP_FLUX, cadences with a quality flag of exactly zero, each sector divided by its own median. The period search uses the multiharmonic χ^2 periodogram approach of Rutkowski & Poleski (2026), run with 12 harmonics: a 12-term Fourier series is fitted at every trial frequency. Rutkowski & Poleski (2026) also introduced the fast Toeplitz-solver technique that accelerates this periodogram (their Toeplitz-LS code). The scan did not run the Toeplitz-LS code. It computed the same 12-harmonic periodogram with the finufft_chi2 backend of nifty-ls (Garrison et al. 2024), a separate package, which matched the Toeplitz-LS results in a test on our own TESS eclipsing binaries on 17 November 2026 and ran about twice as fast. The trial periods run from 0.4 to 30 days, with a frequency step of 1/(10T), where T is the time span of the light curve. The periodogram uses the median-normalized flux with no detrending. A box least-squares (BLS) search (Kovács, Zucker & Mazeh 2002), as implemented in Astropy, is the cross-check. It runs on a copy divided by a one-day running median and binned to 30 minutes, with trial durations of 1.5, 3, 5 and 8 hours. A star is kept as a candidate when at least two separate eclipses fall on the adopted period, the folded eclipse has a signal-to-noise ratio of at least 8, and the depth is at least 0.2 percent. TIC 467468492 did not pass as a periodic eclipse candidate under those cuts, but it had 4 clean dips of at least 0.5 percent. Its median dip depth was small enough that, on a star of its TIC radius, the body that would cause a transit of that depth could be under two Jupiter radii, so it is listed on the Planet-sized dips page. That page is a depth test only. None of these stars is a confirmed planet. The measurements below are made again from the scan's cached QLP light curves (Huang et al. 2020; Kunimoto et al. 2021). Sectors 16 and 17 are 30-minute cadence; later sectors used here are 200-second cadence. SAP_FLUX is used with the quality flag exactly zero, and each sector is divided by its own median. No detrending is applied unless a caption says otherwise. Catalog values are from the TESS Input Catalog (Stassun et al. 2019) as held in the scan catalog. No new observations were obtained.

2. The star

TIC 467468492 is Gaia DR3 2001618591481572480 in the scan catalog. The TIC v8.2 position used by the scan is 22h 25m 07.24s, +52° 49′ 02.2″ (J2000), RA 336.28018°, Dec +52.81729°. The TIC lists Tmag = 10.309, R = 1.25 $R_\odot$, and a contamination ratio of 0.164. T_{eff} = 6535 K from TIC v8.2. The TIC record used by the scan does not give a spectral type, so the spectral type is unknown here. Those catalog values assume a single star and are copied as published. From the scan positions of this star and of V345 Lac, the separation is 2.085 degrees, 125.1 arcmin, at position angle 152°, to the south-southeast. In 0.1-degree rings around V345 Lac it sits in the 2.00–2.10° ring. A VSX entry lies 10 to 30 arcsec away, ZTF J222506.86+524921.1 (BY), 19" away. At that distance it shares TESS pixels with this star.

Quantity	Value
TESS sectors in the cached light curve	16, 17, 56, 57, 83, 84
Sectors with a counted dip	56, 57
12-harmonic χ^2 periodogram peak	28.79945 d, SNR 148
BLS peak	0.65110 d, SNR 82.4, depth 0.09%
Clean dips ≥ 0.5%, off systematic times	4, depths 0.60–0.64%, median 0.62%
Median listed duration	4.1 h
BTJD range of the counted dips	2836.268 – 2872.738
TIC radius and implied body (depth only)	1.25 R_sun, about 0.98 R_Jup
TIC contamination ratio	0.164
Scan flags	broad_dips(EW/ellipsoidal/rotation-like); chi2_peak_is_other
Period confidence on the page	low; window wider than ±10 hours (very low confidence list)
Adopted period used for the listed next time	0.63929 ± 0.00063 days; fallback reason: ruled

Table 1. Scan quantities for TIC 467468492, from the scan's own result file and the Planet-sized dips records. Depths are not corrected for light from other stars.

3. Dip times and shape

Each counted dip below is taken from the scan's dip list: barycentric time, depth in percent of the local light, a duration in hours, and the TESS sector. The shapes are judged by eye from the individual panels of Figure 2, each normalized to a line or median through the nearby light. An automatic comparison of box, V, U and sine models was also computed; it is not used as the verdict, because a sine can appear to fit a shallow, noisy fade. The verdicts below are the visual ones.

#	BTJD	Sector	Depth (%)	Duration (h)
1	2836.268	56	0.62	2.1
2	2870.754	57	0.64	6.2
3	2871.395	57	0.60	4.7
4	2872.738	57	0.61	3.6

Table 2. Counted dips of TIC 467468492 from the 18 November 2026 scan.

Four dips of 0.60 to 0.64 percent were counted, in sector 57. They are shallow rounded U-shaped fades, a few hours long, with no flat bottom, and they sit close to the point scatter. A fold on the 0.6393-day fallback does not show a coherent eclipse, as expected for a period already ruled out by coverage.

4. The adopted period and the next times

The Planet-sized dips page predicts a next time for every star when the page loads. The method is the one written on that page: gaps between dips are divided by 1 through 10, trial periods of 0.4 days or longer that fit every dip and are not ruled out by TESS coverage come first, and when none survive the page falls back to a low-confidence period, either the one that missed the fewest predicted times or the longest gap. A period is ruled out when the

TESS light curve has good data at another predicted time and shows no dip there. The window is four hours either side, or the timing error carried forward to that cycle if that is larger: σ_t (hours) = 24 × √(v_{T0} + E^2 v_P + 2 E cov), using the values stored with the period. For TIC 467468492 the period used for the listed next time is low confidence and the window is wider than ±10 hours, so the star sits in the Very low confidence section of the page. The times below are computed for 18 November 2026, 12:00 UTC, BTJD 4363.0, the same clock zero as the pages, without a new fit. The live pages recompute the next cycle when they load.

Min ≈ BTJD 2872.70737 + E × 0.63929400, with P ± 0.00063246 d.

Every period that fits all the dips is ruled out by TESS coverage. This one, 0.6393 days (the gap divided by 1), missed the fewest predicted times (98).

Because this period is a ruled-out fallback, the listed window should not be read as a reliable observing window. TESS already had good data at other times when a dip was predicted on this period, and those dips were not seen.

The window is as wide as the period, or wider, so the dip could come at almost any time.

E	BTJD	UTC	Pacific	Window (1σ floor 4 h)
2332	4363.5410	19 Nov 2026, 00:54 UTC	18 Nov 2026, 16:54 PST	± 35.9 hours (σ = 35.9 hours)
2333	4364.1803	19 Nov 2026, 16:15 UTC	19 Nov 2026, 08:15 PST	± 35.9 hours (σ = 35.9 hours)
2334	4364.8196	20 Nov 2026, 07:35 UTC	19 Nov 2026, 23:35 PST	± 35.9 hours (σ = 35.9 hours)
2335	4365.4589	20 Nov 2026, 22:56 UTC	20 Nov 2026, 14:56 PST	± 36.0 hours (σ = 36.0 hours)

Table 3. The next four times on the adopted period for TIC 467468492, as of 18 November 2026, 12:00 UTC. Times are converted from BTJD for this star's position, as on the pages. Pacific times are America/Los_Angeles.

5. Light curves and a finder chart




Figure 1. QLP SAP light curves of TIC 467468492, quality flag zero, each sector on its own median. Red lines mark the counted dips of Table 2.




Figure 2. Individual counted dips of TIC 467468492, each normalized to the nearby light. The vertical line is the scan mid-time. These panels, not the fold, are the basis of the shape notes in Section 3.




Figure 3. TIC 467468492 folded on the adopted period P = 0.639294 d, counted from BTJD 2872.70737. Black points are the cached QLP cadences; the red line is a phase median; the dashed blue lines are the phases of the counted dips. This fold is not a reliable test of the period: the period is a fallback, or a two-dip alias, or already ruled out. The individual dips in Figure 2 should be used instead.




Figure 4. Wide finder for TIC 467468492, DSS2 red via NASA SkyView, 20 arcmin field. The red marks are the TIC position. TESS pixels are 21 arcseconds wide.




Figure 5. Close finder for TIC 467468492, DSS2 red, 8 arcmin field, to pick out the target and its nearest neighbors.

6. Best-guess classification

Unconfirmed shallow fades. No standing period. Possible blend with a nearby BY variable. Low confidence. Every period that fits all four dips is ruled out by TESS coverage. The pages fall back to 0.639294 days, which missed 98 predicted times. The timing window on the next listed time is ±35.9 hours, too wide to plan an observation, and in any case the period itself is a ruled-out fallback. A VSX entry, ZTF J222506.86+524921.1 (BY), lies 19 arcsec away and shares TESS pixels with this star. This is not a planet claim.

This classification uses the TESS light curve and catalog values only. TESS pixels are 21 arcseconds wide, so the star that fades may be a neighbor, and the TIC temperature and radius are photometric estimates. The depths are not corrected for light from other stars. None of this has been checked from the ground. A depth that is consistent with a planet-sized body is not evidence that the body is a planet, or that the dip belongs to this star. This is not a planet claim.

7. Follow-up

Useful next steps are time-series photometry that covers a predicted time, with the close neighbors in the field and with a record of which star was in the aperture, and a low-resolution spectrum for the spectral type, which is not given in the TIC fields used here. Because the present window is days or weeks wide, a single short visit is not enough; a long

series, or a wait for a tighter period, is more useful. Because the period used for the listed time is already ruled out by TESS coverage, it is better to treat the times in Table 3 as a starting guess only, or to wait for more data. Nothing here has been submitted to VSX.

References

Brasseur C. E. et al., 2019, Astrocut: Tools for creating cutouts of TESS images, ascl:1905.007 (TESScut)
Gaia Collaboration, 2023, Astron. Astrophys., 674, A1 (DR3)
Garrison L. H., Foreman-Mackey D., Shih Y., Barnett A., 2024, Research Notes of the AAS, 8, 250 (nifty-ls)
Huang C. X. et al., 2020, Research Notes of the AAS, 4, 204 (QLP)
Kovács G., Zucker S., Mazeh T., 2002, Astron. Astrophys., 391, 369 (BLS)
Kunimoto M. et al., 2021, Research Notes of the AAS, 5, 234
Rutkowski K., Poleski R., 2026, Faster χ^2 periodogram: Accelerating linear algebra with fast Toeplitz solvers, arXiv:2611.20300
Stassun K. G. et al., 2019, Astron. J., 158, 138 (TIC)
Watson C., Henden A., Price A., 2006–, AAVSO International Variable Star Index (VSX)